

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Alan R. Reinberg

Serial No.: 09/189,098

Filed: November 9, 1998

For: ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL

CONTACT FOR USE IN

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES

Confirmation No.: 5116

Examiner: H. Weiss

Group Art Unit: 2814

Attorney Docket No.: 2269-3528US

(97-1099.00/US)

Notice of Allowance Mailed:

August 29, 2006

NOTICE OF EXPRESS MAILING	NOT	CE	OF	EXPR	ESS	MAII	INC
---------------------------	-----	----	----	------	-----	------	-----

Express Mail Mailing Label Number: EV 826301680 US

Date of Deposit with USPS: November 9, 2006

Person making Deposit: Brett Hooke

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant submits herewith Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal for the above-captioned application and a check in the amount of \$1400.00 in payment therefor of the patent when issued.

Also, enclosed is an Amendment Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312(a) (22 pages), Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages); and Fee Addressee for Receipt of PTO Notices Relating to Maintenance Fees (2 pages).

Applicant understands that no additional fees are required. However, if the Office determines that any comparison fees or other additional fees are required, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any such fees to TraskBritt Deposit Account No. 20-1469. A copy of this Transmittal Letter is enclosed for deposit account charging purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

Brick G. Power

Registration No. 38,581 Attorney for Applicant TRASKBRITT, PC

P.O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: November 9, 2006

BGP/sfc:eg

Enclosures: Part B - Issue Fee Transmittal

Check No. 23277 in the amount of \$1400.00

Copy of Transmittal Letter

Amendment Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312(a) (22 pages)

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages)

Fee Addressee for Receipt of PTO Notices Relating to Maintenance Fees (2 pages)

Document in ProLaw



N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Alan R. Reinberg

Serial No.: 09/189,098

Filed: November 9, 1998

For: ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL

CONTACT FOR USE IN

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES

Confirmation No.: 5116

Examiner: H. Weiss

Group Art Unit: 2814

Attorney Docket No.: 2269-3528US

(97-1099.00/US)

NOTICE OF EXPRESS MAILING

Date of Deposit with USPS:	November 9, 2006	
Person making Deposit:	Brett Hooke	

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This communication is filed in response to the Notice of Allowance mailed August 29, 2006, and sets forth Applicant's comments, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.104(e), on the Examiner's Statement of Allowable Subject Matter accompanying the Notice of Allowance.

In the Notice of Allowance, the Examiner indicates:

"a decision rendered 6/16/2006 by the U.S. Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reversing the final rejection 3/12/2003."

Applicant concurs with the reasons as stated by the Examiner insofar as they comprise a summary, which is exemplary and not limiting. However, the scope of the claims is based on the actual language of the claims and equivalents thereof, and not on a paraphrase or summary of the claim language.

The Independent claims as allowed recite features and methodology in addition to, and in different language than, those described in the Statement of Allowable Subject Matter.

Furthermore, the dependent claims recite elements in addition to those of the independent claims, which are also not reflected in the Statement of Allowable Subject Matter. Such additional elements, in combination with those of the independent claims from which each claim depends, provide additional reasons for patentability. Accordingly, the scope of the claims must be determined from the literal language of each as a whole, as well as all equivalents thereof.

Therefore, to the extent that the Examiner's reasons for allowance as stated are not relevant to, or wholly encompassing of, a particular claim, independent or dependent, Applicant assumes that (pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.104(e)) the Examiner has determined that the record of the prosecution as a whole of the application makes clear the reasons for allowing those claims. Further, it appears, pursuant to M.P.E.P. 1302.14, that the Examiner's Statements of Allowable Subject Matter are not intended to encompass all of the reasons for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Brick G. Power

Registration No. 38,581

Attorney for Applicant

TRASKBRITT, PC

P.O. Box 2550

Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550

Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: November 9, 2006

BGP/sfc:eg
Document in ProLaw